

Convergence rates for a branching process in a random environment

Chunmao HUANG^{a,b}, Quansheng LIU^{a,b,*}

^aLMAM, Université de Bretagne-Sud, Campus de Tohannic, BP 573, 56017 Vannes, France

^bUniversité Européenne de Bretagne, France

November 1, 2010

Abstract

Let (Z_n) be a supercritical branching process in a random environment ξ . We study the convergence rates of the martingale $W_n = Z_n/\mathbb{E}[Z_n|\xi]$ to its limit W . The following results about the convergence almost sure (a.s.), in law or in probability, are shown. (1) Under a moment condition of order $p \in (1, 2)$, $W - W_n = o(e^{-na})$ a.s. for some $a > 0$ that we find explicitly; assuming only $\mathbb{E}W_1 \log W_1^{\alpha+1} < \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$, we have $W - W_n = o(n^{-\alpha})$ a.s.; similar conclusions hold for a branching process in a varying environment. (2) Under a second moment condition, there are norming constants $a_n(\xi)$ (that we calculate explicitly) such that $a_n(\xi)(W - W_n)$ converges in law to a non-degenerate distribution. (3) For a branching process in a finite state random environment, if W_1 has a finite exponential moment, then so does W , and the decay rate of $\mathbb{P}(|W - W_n| > \epsilon)$ is supergeometric.

AMS 2010 subject classification: 60K37, 60J80.

Key words: branching process, varying environment, random environment, martingale, convergence rates, convergence in law, exponential moment.

1 Introduction and main results

We consider a branching process in a random environment described as follows. Let $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$ be a stationary and ergodic sequence. Suppose that each realization of ξ_n corresponds to a probability distribution on $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ denoted by $p(\xi_n) = \{p_i(\xi_n) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where

$$p_i(\xi_n) \geq 0, \quad \sum_i p_i(\xi_n) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i i p_i(\xi_n) \in (0, \infty).$$

A branching process (Z_n) in the random environment ξ is a class of branching processes in a varying environment indexed by ξ . By definition,

$$Z_0 = 1, \quad Z_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} X_{n,i} \quad (n \geq 0),$$

where given the environment ξ , $X_{n,i}$ ($n \geq 0, i \geq 1$) is a sequence of (conditionally) independent random variables; each $X_{n,i}$ has distribution $p(\xi_n)$.

*Corresponding author at: LMAM, Université de Bretagne-Sud, Campus de Tohannic, BP 573, 56017 Vannes, France.

Email addresses: chunmao.huang@univ-ubs.fr (C. Huang), quansheng.liu@univ-ubs.fr (Q. Liu).

Given ξ , the conditional probability will be denoted by $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ and the corresponding expectation by $\mathbb{E}_\xi$. The total probability will be denoted by $\mathbb{P}$ and the corresponding expectation by $\mathbb{E}$. As usual, $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ is called *quenched law*, and $\mathbb{P}$ *annealed law*.

Let $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}(\xi) = \sigma(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$ and $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_n(\xi) = \sigma(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, X_{k,i}, 0 \leq k \leq n-1, i = 1, 2, \dots)$ be the σ -field generated by the random variables $X_{k,i}$, $0 \leq k \leq n-1, i = 1, 2, \dots$, so that Z_n are $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable. For $n \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$, set

$$m_n(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^p p_i(\xi_n), \quad m_n = m_n(1),$$

and

$$P_0 = 1, \quad P_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m_i \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Then $m_n(p) = \mathbb{E}_\xi X_{n,i}^p$ and $P_n = \mathbb{E}_\xi Z_n$. It is well known that the normalized population size

$$W_n = \frac{Z_n}{P_n}$$

is a nonnegative martingale under $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ for every ξ with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_n$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_n = W$$

exists almost surely (a.s.) with $\mathbb{E}W \leq 1$.

We consider the supercritical case where $\mathbb{E} \log m_0 \in (0, \infty)$, so that $\mathbb{P}(Z_n \rightarrow \infty) > 0$. For simplicity, let

$$\bar{X}_{n,i} = \frac{X_{n,i}}{m_n}, \quad \bar{X}_n = \bar{X}_{n,1}. \quad (1.1)$$

From the definitions of Z_n and W_n , we have

$$W_{n+1} - W_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} (\bar{X}_{n,i} - 1). \quad (1.2)$$

In the present paper, we are interested in the convergence rates of $W - W_n$.

We first consider the a.s. convergence rate. For a Galton-Watson process, Asmussen (1976, [1]) showed that $W - W_n = o(m^{-n/q})$ a.s. and $\mathbb{P}(W > 0) > 0$ if and only if $\mathbb{E}Z_1^p < \infty$, where $1 < p < 2$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $m = \mathbb{E}Z_1 \in (0, \infty)$, and that $W - W_n = o(n^{-\alpha})$ a.s. if $\mathbb{E}Z_1(\log^+ Z_1)^{1+\alpha} < \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$. The following two theorems show that similar results hold for a branching process in a random environment.

Theorem 1.1. *If $\mathbb{E} \log \mathbb{E}_\xi (\frac{Z_1}{m_0})^p < \infty$ for some $p \in (1, 2)$, then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,*

$$W - W_n = o(m^{-\frac{n}{q+\varepsilon}}) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

Remark. As $\mathbb{E} \log m_0 \in (0, \infty)$, it can be easily seen that $\mathbb{E} \log \mathbb{E}_\xi (\frac{Z_1}{m_0})^p < \infty$ if $\mathbb{E} \log^+ \mathbb{E}_\xi Z_1^p < \infty$.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\mathbb{E} \frac{Z_1}{m_0} (\log^+ \frac{Z_1}{m_0})^{1+\alpha} < \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then

$$W - W_n = o(n^{-\alpha}) \quad \text{a.s.};$$

moreover, the series $\sum_n (W - W_n)$ converges a.s. if $\alpha \geq 1$.

We shall see after the remark after the proof of Theorem 1.2 that the condition $\mathbb{E} \frac{Z_1}{m_0} (\log^+ \frac{Z_1}{m_0})^{1+\alpha} < \infty$ can be replaced by $\mathbb{E} \frac{Z_1}{m_0} (\log^+ Z_1)^{1+\alpha} < \infty$.

We next show that under a second moment condition, with an appropriate normalization, $W - W_n$ converges in law to a non-trivial distribution. Recall that for a Galton-Watson process, Heyde (1970, [8]) proved that if $m = \mathbb{E} Z_1 \in (0, \infty)$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{Var} Z_1 \in (0, \infty)$, then $m^{n/2}(W - W_n)$ converges in law to a non-degenerate distribution. We shall prove that similar results hold for a branching process in a random environment.

Let

$$\delta_\infty^2(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_n} \left(\frac{m_n(2)}{m_n^2} - 1 \right). \quad (1.3)$$

It can be easily checked that $\delta_\infty^2(\xi) > 0$ a.s. if and only if $p_i(\xi_0) < 1$ a.s. for all $i \geq 0$. By a result of Jagers (1974, [11]), $\delta_\infty^2(\xi)$ is the variance of W under $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ if the series converges. Indeed, by (1.2) and the orthogonality of martingale, it is not hard to see that

$$\mathbb{E}_\xi W_n^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_\xi (W_{k+1} - W_k)^2 + 1 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{P_k} \left(\frac{m_k(2)}{m_k^2} - 1 \right) + 1.$$

Therefore the martingale $\{W_n\}$ is bounded in L^2 under $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ if and only if the series converges; when it converges, $\delta_\infty^2(\xi)$ is the variance of W under $\mathbb{P}_\xi$. In Lemma 3.1 we shall see that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_n} \left(\frac{m_n(2)}{m_n^2} - 1 \right)$ converges a.s. if $\mathbb{E} \log^+ \mathbb{E}_\xi \left| \frac{Z_1}{m_0} - 1 \right|^2 < \infty$, or equivalently, $\mathbb{E} \log \mathbb{E}_\xi \left(\frac{Z_1}{m_0} \right)^2 < \infty$.

As usual, we write $T^n \xi = (\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \dots)$ if $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots)$ and $n \geq 0$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Assume that $p_i(\xi_0) < 1$ a.s. for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Phi_1(x) = \mathbb{P}_\xi(G\sqrt{W} \leq x)$ and $\Phi_2(x) = \mathbb{P}(G\sqrt{W} \leq x) = \mathbb{E}\Phi_1(x)$, where G is a gaussian random variable with distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, independent of W under $\mathbb{P}_\xi$. If $\mathbb{E} \log \mathbb{E}_\xi \left(\frac{Z_1}{m_0} \right)^2 < \infty$, then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}_\xi \left(\frac{\sqrt{P_n}(W - W_n)}{\delta_\infty(T^n \xi)} \leq x \right) - \Phi_1(x) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^1, \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P_n}(W - W_n)}{\delta_\infty(T^n \xi)} \leq x \right) - \Phi_2(x) \right| \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Remark. Let $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-t^2/2} dt$ be the distribution function of distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. It can be easily seen that

$$\Phi_1(x) = \mathbb{E}_\xi \Phi(x/\sqrt{W}) \mathbf{1}_{\{W>0\}} + \mathbb{P}_\xi(W=0) \mathbf{1}_{\{x \geq 0\}}.$$

In fact, (1.4) is a quenched version of a convergence result in law: it states that the quenched law of $U_n = \frac{\sqrt{P_n}(W - W_n)}{\delta_\infty(T^n \xi)}$ converges in some sense to a non-degenerate distribution; (1.5) is a annealed

version of convergence in law: it says that the annealed law of U_n converges to a non-degenerate distribution.

For the Galton-Watson process, (1.4) and (1.5) reduce to the result of Heyde (1970).

We mention that if we change the norming, then we can obtain central limit theorems. In fact, in extending the theorems of Hedye (1971, [9]) and Heyde and Brown (1971, [10]) on the Galton-Watson process, Gao, Liu and Wang (2010, [17]) have recently shown that (1.4) and (1.5) hold with P_n replaced by Z_n and Φ_i ($i = 1, 2$) replaced by $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-t^2/2} dt$. Compared to this result, the advantage of Theorem 1.3 is that the norming therein depends only on the environment.

We finally show a super-geometric convergence rate in probability for a branching process in a finite state random environment under an exponential moment condition.

Theorem 1.4. *For a branching process in a finite state random environment with $p_0(\xi_0) = 0$ and $p_1(\xi_0) < 1$ a.s., we have*

- (a) $\mathbb{E}e^{\theta W} < \infty$ for some $\theta > 0$ if and only if $\mathbb{E}e^{\theta_0 W_1} < \infty$ for some $\theta_0 > 0$;
- (b) if $\mathbb{E}e^{\theta_0 W_1} < \infty$ for some constant $\theta_0 > 0$, then there exist constants $\lambda > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}_\xi(|W - W_n| > \varepsilon) \leq C \exp(-\lambda P_n^{1/3} \varepsilon^{2/3}) \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(|W - W_n| > \varepsilon) \leq C \exp(-\lambda \underline{m}^{n/3} \varepsilon^{2/3}), \quad (1.7)$$

where $\underline{m} = \text{essinf } m_0 > 1$.

For the classical Galton-Watson process, (1.6) reduces to the result of Athreya (1994, [4], Theorem 5). Notice that by Fatou's Lemma and Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\theta W} = \sup_n \mathbb{E}e^{\theta W_n}. \quad (1.8)$$

So Theorem 1.4(a) is an extension of a result of Athreya (1994, [4], Theorem 4) and Liu (1996, [12], Theorem 2.1) on the classical Galton-Watson process.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider a branching process in a varying environment and show the a.s. convergence rate of $W - W_n$. In Sections 3-5, we consider a branching process in a random environment and prove the main results: we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in Section 3, Theorem 1.3 in Section 4 and Theorem 1.4 in Section 5.

2 Branching process in a varying environment

In this section, we consider a branching process $(Z_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in a varying environment. By definition,

$$Z_0 = 1, \quad Z_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} X_{n,i} \quad (n \geq 0),$$

where $(X_{n,i})_{i \geq 1}$ are independent on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$; each $X_{n,i}$ has distribution $p(n) = \{p_i(n) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, where

$$p_i(n) \geq 0, \quad \sum_i p_i(n) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i i p_i(n) \in (0, \infty).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_{k,i} : 0 \leq k \leq n-1, i = 1, 2, \dots)$, so that Z_n are $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable. For $n \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$, set

$$m_n(p) = \mathbb{E}X_{n,i}^p = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^p p_i(n), \quad m_n = m_n(1), \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$P_0 = 1, \quad P_n = \mathbb{E}Z_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m_i \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (2.2)$$

Then the normalized population size

$$W_n = \frac{Z_n}{P_n}$$

is a nonnegative martingale with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_n$, and the limit

$$W = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_n \quad a.s.$$

exists with $\mathbb{E}W \leq 1$. It is known that there is a nonnegative but possibly infinite random variable Z_∞ such that $Z_n \rightarrow Z_\infty$ in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We are interested in the supercritical case where $\mathbb{P}(Z_\infty = 0) < 1$, so that by ([11], Corollary 3), either $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - p_1(n)) < \infty$, or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = \infty$. Here we assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = \infty$.

The following two theorems show the a.s. convergence rate of $W - W_n$ for a branching process in a varying environment under different moment conditions.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $p \in (1, 2)$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.*

(i) *If $p_0(n) = 0$ for all n and $\sum_n \frac{1}{P_n^{\varepsilon/p}} \frac{m_n(p+\varepsilon)}{m_n^{p+\varepsilon}} < \infty$ for some $0 < \varepsilon < 2 - p$, then*

$$W - W_n = o(P_n^{-1/q}) \quad a.s.. \quad (2.3)$$

(ii) *If $a := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P_n}{n} \in (0, \infty)$ and $\sum_n m^{-\frac{\varepsilon' n}{p}} \frac{m_n(p+\varepsilon)}{m_n^{p+\varepsilon}} < \infty$ for some $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon < 2 - p$, where $m := e^a > 1$, then*

$$W - W_n = o(m^{-n/q}) \quad a.s.. \quad (2.4)$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\alpha > 0$. If $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P_n}{n} = a > 0$ and*

$$\sum_n \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}} \sum_i \frac{i}{m_n} (\log^+ \frac{i}{m_n})^{1+\alpha} (\log^+ \log^+ \frac{i}{m_n})^{1+\varepsilon} p_i(n) < \infty$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$W - W_n = o(n^{-\alpha}) \quad a.s. \quad (2.5)$$

and the series $\sum_n (W - W_n)$ converges a.s. when $\alpha \geq 1$.

We shall prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 by an argument similar to that used in Asmussen (1976, [1]). The crucial idea is to find an appropriate truncation to show the convergence of the series $\sum_n a_n (W_{n+1} - W_n)$ with suitable a_n , which gives information on the convergence rate of $W - W_n$.

Lemma 2.1 ([1], Lemma 2). *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots$ be sequences of real numbers.*

(i) If $\alpha_n \geq 0$, $\alpha_n \uparrow \infty$, and the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n$ converges, then $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \beta_n = o(\frac{1}{\alpha_N})$.

(ii) The convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\beta_n$ implies that of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \beta_k$.

Proof. (i) Let $K_N = \sup_{p,q \geq N} |\sum_{n=p}^q \alpha_n \beta_n|$, so that $K_N \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Fix N , let $a_n = 1/\alpha_{N+n}$ and $b_n = \beta_{N+n}$. Notice that $a_n \downarrow 0$ and $|\sum_{k=1}^n b_k| = |\sum_{k=N+1}^{N+n} \alpha_k \beta_k| \leq K_{N+1}$, by Abel's lemma, we have $|\sum_{k=N+1}^{N+n} \beta_k| = |\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k| \leq a_1 K_{N+1} = K_{N+1}/\alpha_{N+1}$. Let $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) Notice that $\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \beta_k = \sum_{n=1}^N n\beta_n + N \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \beta_n$. So (ii) is a consequence of (i). $\square$

Applying Lemma 2.1 with $\beta_n = W_{n+1} - W_n$, we immediately get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (a_n) be a sequence of real numbers. If $a_n \geq 0$, $a_n \uparrow \infty$, then $\sum_n a_n(W_{n+1} - W_n)$ converges a.s. implies that $W - W_n = o(a_n^{-1})$ a.s..*

In particular, $\sum_n n^\alpha(W_{n+1} - W_n)$ converges a.s. for $\alpha \geq 1$ implies that $W - W_n = o(n^{-\alpha})$ a.s. and $\sum_n (W - W_n)$ converges a.s..

Now we give the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. (i) Since $p_0(n) = 0$ for all n , we have $P_n^{1/q} \uparrow \infty$. By Lemma 2.2, to show (2.3), we only need to prove that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^{1/q}(W_{n+1} - W_n)$ converges a.s.. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}'_{n,i} &= \bar{X}_{n,i} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_{n,i} \leq P_n^{\frac{1}{p}}\}}, & \bar{X}'_n &= \bar{X}'_{n,1}, \\ S_n &= P_n^{1/q}(W_{n+1} - W_n) = \frac{1}{P_n^{1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} (\bar{X}_{n,i} - 1), \\ S'_n &= \frac{1}{P_n^{1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} (\bar{X}'_{n,i} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S_n - S'_n) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S'_n - \mathbb{E}(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n)) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n).$$

We shall prove that each of the three series on the right handside converges a.s..

For the first series, we show that $P(S_n \neq S'_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$, which implies the a.s. convergence of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S_n - S'_n)$. By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, it is sufficient to show that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(S_n \neq S'_n) < \infty$. By the independence of Z_n and $X_{n,i}$ and Markov's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_n \neq S'_n) &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_{n,i} > P_n^{1/p}\}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n \mathbb{P}(\bar{X}_n > P_n^{1/p}) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_n^{\varepsilon/p}} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n^{p+\varepsilon} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_n^{\varepsilon/p}} \frac{m_n(p+\varepsilon)}{m_n^{p+\varepsilon}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For the second series, let $A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (S'_k - \mathbb{E}(S'_k | \mathcal{F}_k))$. Obviously, $\{A_n\}$ forms a martingale with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_{n+1}\}$. By the convergence theorem for L^2 -bounded martingale, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}A_n^2 < \infty$ implies that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S'_n - \mathbb{E}(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n))$ converges a.s.. Since

$$\mathbb{E}A_n^2 = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{E}(S'_k - \mathbb{E}(S'_k | \mathcal{F}_k))^2,$$

it suffices to show that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(S'_n - \mathbb{E}(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n))^2 < \infty$. By calculations,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(S'_n - \mathbb{E}(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n))^2 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \text{Var}(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_n^{2/p}} \mathbb{E} Z_n \text{Var} \bar{X}'_n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_n^{2/p-1}} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n \leq P_n^{1/p}\}} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_n^{2/p-1}} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n^2 \left(\frac{P_n^{1/p}}{\bar{X}_n} \right)^{2-p-\varepsilon} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_n^{\varepsilon/p}} \frac{m_n(p+\varepsilon)}{m_n^{p+\varepsilon}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For the third series, notice that

$$\mathbb{E}(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n) = -\frac{1}{P_n^{1/p}} Z_n \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n > P_n^{1/p}\}} \leq 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\mathbb{E}S'_n) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^{1-1/p} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n > P_n^{1/p}\}} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^{1-1/p} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n \left(\frac{\bar{X}_n}{P_n^{1/p}} \right)^{p+\varepsilon-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_n^{\varepsilon/p}} \frac{m_n(p+\varepsilon)}{m_n^{p+\varepsilon}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n)$ converges a.s..

(ii) We only need to prove that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m^{n/q} (W_{n+1} - W_n)$ converges a.s.. Set $\bar{X}'_{n,i}$ as in the proof of (i), and reset S_n, S'_n as

$$\begin{aligned} S_n &= m^{n/q} (W_{n+1} - W_n) = \frac{m^{n/q}}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} (\bar{X}_{n,i} - 1), \\ S'_n &= \frac{m^{n/q}}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} (\bar{X}'_{n,i} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Like the proof of (i), it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(S_n \neq S'_n) < \infty, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(S'_n - \mathbb{E}(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n))^2 < \infty \text{ and } 0 \leq -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}S'_n < \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

Since $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P_n}{n} = a > 0$, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists an integer n_0 depending on δ such that

$$P_n \geq e^{(a-\delta)n} \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0. \quad (2.7)$$

By a calculation similar to that in the proof of (i), and using (2.7), we have for $n \geq n_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \neq S'_n) \leq \frac{1}{P_n^{\varepsilon/p}} \frac{m_n(p+\varepsilon)}{m_n^{p+\varepsilon}} \leq e^{-(a-\delta)\frac{\varepsilon n}{p}} \frac{m_n(p+\varepsilon)}{m_n^{p+\varepsilon}},$$

$$\mathbb{E}(S'_n - \mathbb{E}(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n))^2 \leq \frac{m_n^{2n/q}}{P_n^{2/q+\varepsilon/p}} \frac{m_n(p+\varepsilon)}{m_n^{p+\varepsilon}} \leq e^{(\frac{2a}{q} - (a-\delta)(\frac{2}{q} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}))n} \frac{m_n(p+\varepsilon)}{m_n^{p+\varepsilon}},$$

and

$$0 \leq -\mathbb{E}S'_n \leq \frac{m_n^{n/q}}{P_n^{1/q+\varepsilon/p}} \frac{m_n(p+\varepsilon)}{m_n^{p+\varepsilon}} \leq e^{(\frac{a}{q} - (a-\delta)(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}))n} \frac{m_n(p+\varepsilon)}{m_n^{p+\varepsilon}}.$$

Taking $\delta > 0$ small enough such that

$$\begin{cases} -(a-\delta)\frac{\varepsilon}{p} < -\frac{a\varepsilon'}{p} \\ \frac{2a}{q} - (a-\delta)(\frac{2}{q} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}) < -\frac{a\varepsilon'}{p} \\ \frac{a}{q} - (a-\delta)(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}) < -\frac{a\varepsilon'}{p}, \end{cases}$$

we see that (2.6) holds since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m^{-\frac{\varepsilon' n}{p}} \frac{m_n(p+\varepsilon)}{m_n^{p+\varepsilon}} < \infty$.

□

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}'_{n,i} &= \bar{X}_{n,i} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_{n,i} \leq \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}}, \\ S_n &= n^\alpha(W_{n+1} - W_n) = \frac{n^\alpha}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} (\bar{X}_{n,i} - 1), \\ S'_n &= \frac{n^\alpha}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} (\bar{X}'_{n,i} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, if (2.6) still holds for S_n, S'_n defined above, then the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^\alpha(W_{n+1} - W_n)$ converges a.s., which implies (2.5) and the a.s. convergence of the series $\sum_n (W - W_n)$ by Lemma 2.2. Since $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P_n}{n} = a > 0$, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha} = a > 0.$$

So for $0 < a_1 < a$, there exists an integer n_1 depending on δ such that

$$a_1 n \leq \log \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha} \quad \text{for } n \geq n_1. \quad (2.8)$$

Now for n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S_n \neq S'_n) &\leq P_n \mathbb{P}(\bar{X}_n > \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}) \\ &\leq P_n \mathbb{E} \frac{\bar{X}_n (\log^+ \bar{X}_n)^{1+\alpha} (\log^+ \log^+ \bar{X}_n)^{1+\varepsilon}}{\frac{P_n}{n^\alpha} (\log^+ \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha})^{1+\alpha} (\log^+ \log^+ \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha})^{1+\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a_1^{1+\alpha} n (\log a_1 n)^{1+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n (\log^+ \bar{X}_n)^{1+\alpha} (\log^+ \log^+ \bar{X}_n)^{1+\varepsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where the second inequality holds because the function $x(\log^+ x)^{1+\alpha}(\log^+ \log^+ x)^{1+\varepsilon}$ is increasing, and the last inequality holds because of (2.8).

Next, notice that the function $f(x) = x(\log x)^{-(\alpha+1)}(\log \log x)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$ is increasing and positive on $(c, +\infty)$, where c is a positive constant. Thus for n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(S'_n - \mathbb{E}(S'_n \mid \mathcal{F}_n))^2 &\leq \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n \leq \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}} \\
&\leq \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n \leq \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n > c\}} + \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n \leq \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n \leq c\}} \\
&\leq \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n^2 \frac{f(\frac{P_n}{n^\alpha})}{f(\bar{X}_n)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n > c\}} + c^2 \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{a_1^{1+\alpha} n (\log a_1 n)^{1+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n (\log^+ \bar{X}_n)^{1+\alpha} (\log^+ \log^+ \bar{X}_n)^{1+\varepsilon} + c^2 \frac{n^\alpha}{e^{a_1 n}}. \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq -\mathbb{E} S'_n &= n^\alpha \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n > \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}} \\
&\leq n^\alpha \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n \frac{(\log^+ \bar{X}_n)^\alpha (\log^+ \log^+ \bar{X}_n)^{1+\varepsilon}}{(\log^+ \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha})^\alpha (\log^+ \log^+ \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha})^{1+\varepsilon}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{a_1^{1+\alpha} n (\log a_1 n)^{1+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n (\log^+ \bar{X}_n)^{1+\alpha} (\log^+ \log^+ \bar{X}_n)^{1+\varepsilon}. \tag{2.11}
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11), it is clear that (2.6) still holds for S_n, S'_n defined at the beginning of the proof, since $\sum_n \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_n (\log^+ \bar{X}_n)^{1+\alpha} (\log^+ \log^+ \bar{X}_n)^{1+\varepsilon} < \infty$. $\square$

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

For a branching process (Z_n) in a random environment, when the environment ξ is fixed, it is a branching process in a varying environment. So we can directly apply the results for a branching process in a varying environment to (Z_n) by considering the conditional probability $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ and the corresponding expectation $\mathbb{E}_\xi$.

Lemma 3.1 ([7], Theorem 1). *Let $(\alpha_n, \beta_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a stationary and ergodic sequence of nonnegative random variables. If $\mathbb{E} \log \alpha_0 < 0$ and $\mathbb{E} \log^+ \beta_0 < \infty$, then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} \beta_n < \infty \quad a.s.. \tag{3.1}$$

To see the conclusion, it suffices to notice that by the ergodic theorem, under the above moment conditions, we have a.s.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} \beta_n)^{1/n} < 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We shall prove Theorem 1.1 by applying Theorem 2.1. By the ergodic theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P_n}{n} = \mathbb{E} \log m_0 > 0 \quad a.s..$$

Let $q_1 = q + \varepsilon$ and $1/p_1 + 1/q_1 = 1$. Take $\varepsilon_1 = p - p_1 \in (0, 2 - p_1)$ and $0 < \varepsilon'_1 < \varepsilon_1$. Set $\alpha_n = m^{-\frac{\varepsilon'_1}{p_1}}$ and $\beta_n = \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n^{p_1 + \varepsilon_1} = \frac{m_n(p)}{m_n^p}$. Since $\mathbb{E} \log \alpha_0 \in (-\infty, 0)$ and $\mathbb{E} \log^+ \beta_0 = \mathbb{E} \log \mathbb{E}_\xi (\frac{Z_1}{m_0})^p < \infty$, by Lemma 3.1, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m^{-\frac{\varepsilon'_1 n}{p_1}} \frac{m_n(p_1 + \varepsilon_1)}{m_n^{p_1 + \varepsilon_1}} < \infty$ a.s.. So Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1(ii). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Instead of using Theorem 2.2 directly, to obtain more precise result, we choose another truncation function. Set $\bar{X}'_{n,i}$, S_n , S'_n like in the proof of Theorem 2.2 but with the truncation function $\mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_{n,i}(\log \bar{X}_{n,i})^\kappa \leq \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}}$ in place of $\mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_{n,i} \leq \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}}$. The value of $\kappa > 0$ will be taken suitably large. It suffices to prove the $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ -a.s. convergence of the following three series :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S_n - S'_n), \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S'_n - \mathbb{E}_\xi(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n)), \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_\xi(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n).$$

By a simple calculation, we have

$$0 \leq S_n - S'_n = \frac{n^\alpha}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n} \bar{X}_{n,i} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_{n,i}(\log \bar{X}_{n,i})^\kappa > \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}},$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\xi(S_n - S'_n) = n^\alpha \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n(\log \bar{X}_n)^\kappa > \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}},$$

and

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E}_\xi S'_n = n^\alpha \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n(\log \bar{X}_n)^\kappa > \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}}.$$

By the ergodic theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha} = \mathbb{E} \log m_0 > 0 \quad a.s..$$

So for some constant $c_1 > 1$, we have $P_n/n^\alpha \geq c_1^n$ for n large enough. Therefore,

$$n^\alpha \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n(\log \bar{X}_n)^\kappa > \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}} \leq n^\alpha \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n(\log \bar{X}_n)^\kappa > c_1^n\}}.$$

The $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ -a.s. convergence of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S_n - S'_n)$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_\xi(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n)$ follow from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^\alpha \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n(\log \bar{X}_n)^\kappa > c_1^n\}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^\alpha \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_0 \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_0(\log \bar{X}_0)^\kappa > c_1^n\}} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^\alpha \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_0(\log \bar{X}_0)^\kappa > c_1^n\}} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_0 \log(\bar{X}_0)^{\alpha+1} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S'_n - \mathbb{E}_\xi(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n))$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}_\xi(S'_n - \mathbb{E}_\xi(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n))^2 \leq \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n} \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n(\log \bar{X}_n)^\kappa \leq \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}}.$$

Take $f(x) = x(\log x)^{-(\alpha+1+\delta)}$ ($\delta > 0$). It is clear that $f(x)$ is increasing and positive on $(c, +\infty)$, where c is a suitably large positive constant. For n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n} \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n(\log \bar{X}_n)^\kappa \leq \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}} \\
&= \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n} \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n(\log \bar{X}_n)^\kappa \leq \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n \geq c\}} + \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n} \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n(\log \bar{X}_n)^\kappa \leq \frac{P_n}{n^\alpha}\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n < c\}} \\
&\leq \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n} \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n^2 \frac{f(\frac{P_n}{n^\alpha})}{f(\bar{X}_n(\log \bar{X}_n)^\kappa)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X}_n \geq c\}} + c^2 \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n} \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{n^{1+\delta}} \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n (\log^+ \bar{X}_n)^{\alpha+1+\delta-\kappa} + c^2 \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{n^{2\alpha}}{P_n} < \infty$ a.s.. Besides, taking $\kappa \geq \delta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{1+\delta}} \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n (\log \bar{X}_n)^{\alpha+1+\delta-\kappa} \right) \\
&\leq C \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_0 \log(\bar{X}_0)^{\alpha+1} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{1+\delta}} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{1+\delta}} \mathbb{E}_\xi \bar{X}_n (\log \bar{X}_n)^{\alpha+1+\delta-\kappa} < \infty$. Thus $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (S'_n - \mathbb{E}_\xi(S'_n | \mathcal{F}_n))$ converges $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ -a.s.. $\square$

Remark. Thanks to the hypothesis $0 < \mathbb{E} \log m_0 < \infty$, the condition $\mathbb{E} \frac{Z_1}{m_0} (\log^+ \frac{Z_1}{m_0})^{1+\alpha} < \infty$ can be replaced by $\mathbb{E} \frac{Z_1}{m_0} (\log^+ Z_1)^{1+\alpha} < \infty$. To see this, one can repeat the above proof by considering the truncation function $\mathbf{1}_{\{X_{n,i}(\log X_{n,i})^\kappa \leq \frac{P_{n+1}}{n^\alpha}\}}$.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Notice that

$$W - W_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j=1}^{Z_n} (W(n, j) - 1), \quad (4.1)$$

where $W(n, j)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) denotes the limit random variable in the line of descent initiated by the j th particle of the n th generation. Under $\mathbb{P}_\xi$, $(W(n, j))_{j \geq 1}$ are independent of each other and independent of $\mathcal{F}_n$, and have the same distribution $\mathbb{P}_\xi(W(n, j) \in \cdot) = \mathbb{P}_{T^n \xi}(W \in \cdot)$.

If $\delta_\infty(\xi) \in (0, \infty)$ a.s., let

$$V_{n,j} = \frac{W(n, j) - 1}{\delta_\infty(T^n \xi)}. \quad (4.2)$$

Then $\mathbb{E}_\xi V_{n,j} = 0$ and $\text{Var}_\xi V_{n,j} = 1$. From (4.1), we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{P_n}(W - W_n)}{\delta_\infty(T^n \xi)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_n}} \sum_{j=1}^{Z_n} V_{n,j} \cdot \sqrt{W_n}. \quad (4.3)$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(r_n) \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $\delta_\infty(\xi) \in (0, \infty)$ a.s. and set $Y_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_n}} \sum_{j=1}^{r_n} V_{n,j}$. Then*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}_\xi(Y_n \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^1.$$

Proof. By the stationarity of the environment sequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}_\xi(Y_n \leq x) - \Phi(x)| &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}_\xi(\sum_{j=1}^{r_n} V_{n,j} \leq \sqrt{r_n}x) - \Phi(x)| \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}_\xi(\sum_{j=1}^{r_n} V_{1,j} \leq \sqrt{r_n}x) - \Phi(x)|.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $(V_{1,j})_{j \geq 1}$ are i.i.d. under $\mathbb{P}_\xi$. By the classic central limit theorem,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}_\xi(\sum_{j=1}^{r_n} V_{1,j} \leq \sqrt{r_n}x) - \Phi(x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad a.s..$$

So the dominated convergence theorem ensures that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}_\xi(\sum_{j=1}^{r_n} V_{1,j} \leq \sqrt{r_n}x) - \Phi(x)| \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}_\xi(Y_n \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $U_n = \frac{\sqrt{F_n}(W - W_n)}{\delta_\infty(T^n \xi)}$. Notice that (1.4) implies (1.5) since

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(U_n \leq x) - \Phi_2(x)| &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{E} \mathbb{P}_\xi(U_n \leq x) - \mathbb{E} \Phi_1(x)| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}_\xi(U_n \leq x) - \Phi_1(x)|.\end{aligned}$$

So we only need to prove (1.4). For simplicity, we assume that $\mathbb{P}_\xi(W > 0) = 1$ a.s., in which case we have $\Phi_1(x) = \mathbb{E}_\xi \Phi(x/\sqrt{W})$. For the case where $\mathbb{P}_\xi(W > 0) < 1$ a.s., the proof is similar.

By (4.3),

$$\mathbb{P}_\xi(U_n \leq x) = \mathbb{P}_\xi \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_n}} \sum_{j=1}^{Z_n} V_{n,j} \cdot \sqrt{W_n} \leq x \right). \quad (4.4)$$

Let $F_n(r, x) = \mathbb{P}_\xi(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{j=1}^r V_{n,j} \leq x)$. As Z_n is independent of $(V_{n,j})_{j \geq 1}$ under $\mathbb{P}_\xi$, it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}_\xi(U_n \leq x) = \mathbb{E}_\xi F_n(Z_n, x/\sqrt{W_n}). \quad (4.5)$$

By Lemma 4.1, for each sequence (n') of $\mathbb{N}$ with $n' \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a subsequence (n'') of (n') such that $n'' \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_{n''}(r_{n''}, x) - \Phi(x)| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{whenever } r_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (4.5) and the dominated convergence theorem, we see that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, a.s.

$$\mathbb{P}_\xi(U_{n''} \leq x) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\xi \Phi(x/\sqrt{W}). \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore a.s. (4.6) holds for all rational x , and then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by the monotonicity of the left term and the continuity of the right term. Hence by Dini's theorem,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}_\xi(U_{n''} \leq x) - \mathbb{E}_\xi \Phi(x/\sqrt{W})| \rightarrow 0 \quad a.s..$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, this implies that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}_\xi(U_{n''} \leq x) - \mathbb{E}_\xi \Phi(x/\sqrt{W})| \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.7)$$

So we have proved that for each subsequence (n') of $\mathbb{N}$ with $n' \rightarrow \infty$, there is a subsequence (n'') of (n') with $n'' \rightarrow \infty$ such that (4.7) holds. Thus (1.4) holds. $\square$

5 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section we consider a branching process (Z_n) in a finite state random environment, where each ξ_n takes values in a finite set $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N\}$. For simplicity, we only consider the strongly supercritical case, i.e. $p_0(\xi_0) = 0$ a.s.. Before the proof of Theorem 1.4, we first prove three lemmas.

The first lemma is an elementary result about the Laplace transform.

Lemma 5.1. *Let X be a random variable with $\mathbb{E}X = 0$. If $\mathbb{E}e^{\delta|X|} \leq K$ for some constants $\delta > 0$ and $K > 0$, then $\mathbb{E}e^{tX} \leq e^{K\delta t^2}$ for $t < \frac{\delta}{2}$, where $K_\delta = \frac{2K}{\delta^2}$.*

Proof. For $t < \frac{\delta}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}e^{tX} &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tX)^k}{k!} = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)^k \frac{\mathbb{E}(\delta X)^k}{k!} \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)^k \mathbb{E}e^{\delta|X|} \leq 1 + K \frac{(t/\delta)^2}{1 - t/\delta} \leq e^{K\delta t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

The second lemma is a generalization of a result of Athreya (1974, [4], Theorem 4) on the Galton-Watson process about the exponential moments of W_n ; see also Liu (1996, [12], Theorem 2.1) for a slightly more complete result.

Lemma 5.2. *Let (Z_n) be a branching process in a finite state random environment with $m_0 > 1$ a.s.. If $\mathbb{E}_\xi e^{\theta_0 W_1} \leq K$ a.s. for some constants $\theta_0 > 0$ and $K > 0$, then there exist constants $\theta_1 > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}_\xi e^{\theta_1 W_n} \leq C_1 \quad a.s..$$

Proof. Assume that ξ_0 take values in a finite set $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N\}$. Denote

$$\varphi_{\xi_n}(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i(\xi_n) s^i, \quad s \in [0, 1]$$

the probability generating distribution of $p(\xi_n)$. Let $\psi_n(t, \xi) = \mathbb{E}_\xi e^{tW_n}$, we have

$$\psi_{n+1}(t, \xi) = \varphi_{\xi_0}(\psi_n(\frac{t}{m_0}, T\xi)). \quad (5.1)$$

Noticing that $\mathbb{E}_\xi(W_1 - 1) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}_\xi e^{\theta_0|W_1-1|} \leq K e^{\theta_0}$ a.s., by lemma 1, we have for $t < \frac{\theta_0}{2}$,

$$\psi_1(t, \xi) = \mathbb{E}_\xi e^{tW_1} = e^t \mathbb{E}_\xi e^{t(W_1-1)} \leq e^{t+K_1 t^2} \quad a.s.,$$

where $K_1 = \frac{2K e^{\theta_0}}{\theta_0^2}$.

Let $g_{\xi_0}(t) = \varphi_{\xi_0}(e^{t/m_0 + K_1 t^2/m_0^2}) e^{-t - K_1 t^2}$. It is not difficult to see that $g'_{\xi_0}(0) = 0$ and $g''_{\xi_0}(0) = 2K_1(\frac{1}{m_0} - 1) < 0$, hence there exists $t_0(\xi_0) > 0$ such that $g_{\xi_0}(t)$ is decreasing on $[0, t_0(\xi_0)]$. Since ξ_0 take value in $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N\}$, take $t_0 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \{t_0(a_i)\} > 0$ so that $g_{\xi_0}(t)$ is decreasing on $[0, t_0]$, thus $g_{\xi_0}(t) \leq g_{\xi_0}(0) = 1$ on $[0, t_0]$.

Take $\theta_1 = \min\{\frac{\theta_0}{2}, t_0\}$. From (5.1) and considering the fact that $g_{\xi_0}(t) \leq 1$ on $[0, \theta_1]$, by induction we can get

$$\psi_n(t, \xi) \leq e^{t+K_1 t^2} \quad (\forall t \leq \theta_1) \quad a.s..$$

Choose $C_1 = e^{\theta_1 + K_1 \theta_1^2}$.

□

The third lemma is a more general result than Theorem 1.4 about the supergeometric convergence rate of $\mathbb{P}_\xi(|W - W_n| > \varepsilon)$, where we do not assume that the environment has finite state space.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that $p_0(\xi_0) = 0$ a.s.. If $\sup_n \mathbb{E}_\xi e^{\theta_1 W_n} \leq C_1$ a.s. for some constants $\theta_1 > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$, then there exist constants $\lambda > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}_\xi(|W - W_n| > \varepsilon) \leq C \exp(-\lambda P_n^{1/3} \varepsilon^{2/3}) \quad a.s..$$

Proof. We adopt the method in [4] to prove Lemma 5.3. Recall that

$$W - W_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j=1}^{Z_n} (W(n, j) - 1). \quad (5.2)$$

Let $\phi_\xi(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_\xi e^{\theta W}$, it is clear that $\phi_\xi(\theta) < \infty$ a.s. for $0 < \theta \leq \theta_1$. Denote

$$f_\xi(\theta, k) = \mathbb{E}_\xi \exp \left(\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{j=1}^k (W(n, j) - 1) \right).$$

For $\theta \leq \min\{\theta_1, 1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_\xi(\theta, k) &= \left(\phi_{T^n \xi} \left(\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{k}} \right) e^{-\theta/\sqrt{k}} \right)^k = \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \frac{\phi_{T^n \xi} \left(\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{k}} \right) e^{-\theta/\sqrt{k}} - 1}{\theta^2/k} \theta^2 \right)^k \\ &\leq \exp \left(\frac{|\phi_{T^n \xi} \left(\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{k}} \right) e^{-\theta/\sqrt{k}} - 1|}{\theta^2/k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since for $t < \frac{\theta_1}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|\phi_{T^n\xi}(t)e^{-t} - 1|}{t^2} &= \left| \mathbb{E}_{T^n\xi} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t^{k-2} \frac{(W-1)^k}{k!} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{\theta_1}\right)^{k-2} \mathbb{E}_{T^n\xi} e^{\theta_1|W-1|} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{\theta_1}\right)^{k-2} e^{\theta_1} \mathbb{E}_{T^n\xi} e^{\theta_1 W} \\
&\leq \frac{C_1 e^{\theta_1}}{1 - t/\theta_1} < 2C_1 e^{\theta_1},
\end{aligned}$$

so for $\theta < \min\{\frac{\theta_1}{2}, 1\}$,

$$f_\xi(\theta, k) \leq \exp(2C_1 e^{\theta_1}) =: C_2 \quad (\forall k).$$

By (5.2), we have for $0 < \theta < \min\{\frac{\theta_1}{2}, 1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_\xi(W - W_n > \varepsilon) &\leq \mathbb{E}_\xi \frac{\mathbb{E}_\xi(\exp(\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{Z_n}} \sum_{j=1}^{Z_n} (W(n, j) - 1)) | \mathcal{F}_n)}{\exp(\theta P_n^{1/2} \varepsilon / W_n^{1/2})} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\xi f_\xi(\theta, Z_n) \exp\left(-\frac{\theta P_n^{1/2} \varepsilon}{W_n^{1/2}}\right) \\
&\leq C_2 \mathbb{E}_\xi \exp\left(-\frac{\theta P_n^{1/2} \varepsilon}{W_n^{1/2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda = \lambda(\xi) > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\lambda) := \mathbb{E}_\xi \exp(-\lambda / W_n^{1/2}) &= \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda u} \mathbb{P}_\xi(W_n > 1/u^2) du \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_\xi e^{\theta_1 W_n} \int_0^\infty e^{-t - \theta_1 \lambda^2 / t^2} dt \\
&\leq C_1 \int_0^\infty e^{-t - \theta_1 \lambda^2 / t^2} dt \\
&\leq 2C_1 \exp(-\theta_1^{1/3} \lambda^{2/3}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $\theta_2 < \min\{\frac{\theta_1}{2}, 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_\xi(W - W_n > \varepsilon) &\leq C_2 B(\theta_2 P_n^{1/2} \varepsilon) \\
&\leq 2C_1 C_2 \exp(-\theta_1^{1/3} \theta_2^{2/3} P_n^{1/3} \varepsilon^{2/3}) \\
&= C \exp(-\lambda P_n^{1/3} \varepsilon^{2/3}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = 2C_1 C_2 > 0$, $\lambda = \theta_1^{1/3} \theta_2^{2/3} > 0$. For $\mathbb{P}_\xi(W_n - W > \varepsilon)$, the argument is similar. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Notice that $\mathbb{E}_\xi e^{\theta_0 W_1}$ depends only on ξ_0 . Therefore, when ξ_0 has a finite state space, the following three conditions are equivalent:

$$\mathbb{E}_\xi e^{\theta_0 W_1} < \infty \text{ a.s.}, \quad \text{esssup } \mathbb{E}_\xi e^{\theta_0 W_1} < \infty, \quad \mathbb{E} e^{\theta_0 W_1} < \infty.$$

Moreover, notice that $m_0 > 1$ a.s. since $p_0(\xi_0) = 0$ and $p_1(\xi_0) < 1$ a.s.. By Lemma 5.2, there exist constants $\theta_1 > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that $\sup_n \mathbb{E}_\xi e^{\theta_1 W_n} \leq C_1$ a.s.. Hence part (a) is a direct consequence of Lemma 5.2 and the equality (1.8) (with $\theta = \theta_1$).

For part (b), by Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, we see that (1.6) holds. Taking expectation in (1.6) and noticing the fact that $P_n \geq \underline{m}^n$, we immediately get (1.7). □

References

- [1] S. Asmussen. Convergence rates for branching processes. Ann. Proba. 4 (1976), 139-146.
- [2] K. B. Athreya, S. Karlin. On branching processes in random environments I & II. Ann. Math. Statist. 42 (1971), 1499-1520 & 1843-1858.
- [3] K. B. Athreya, P. E. Ney. Branching Processes. Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [4] K. B. Athreya. Large deviation rates for branching processes-I. single type case. Ann. Appl. Proba. 4 (1994), 779-790.
- [5] J. D. Biggins. The central limit theorem for the supercritical branching random walk, and related results. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 34 (1990), 255-274.
- [6] J. D. Biggins, J.C. D'Souza. The Supercritical Galton-Watson process in varying environments. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 42 (1992), 39-47.
- [7] A. K. Grintsevichyus. On the continuity of the distribution of a sum of dependent variable connected with independent walks on lines. Theory Prob. Appl. 19 (1974), 163-168.
- [8] C. C. Heyde. A rate of convergence result for the super-critical Galton-Watson process, J. Appl. Probability, 7 (1970), 451-454.
- [9] C. C. Heyde. Some central limit analogues for super-critical Galton-Watson process. J. Appl. Probab. 8 (1971), 52-59.
- [10] C. C. Heyde, B. M. Brown. An invariance principle and some convergence rate results for branching processes. Z. Wahrsch. verw. Geb. 20 (1971), 189-192.
- [11] P. Jagers. Galton-Watson processes in varying environments. J. Appl. Prob. 11 (1974), 174-178.
- [12] Q. Liu. The exact hausdorff dimension of a branching set. Probab. Theory Related Fields 104 (1996), 515-538.
- [13] Q. Liu. Local dimensions of the branching measure on a Galton-Watson tree. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. Probabilités et Statistiques 37 (2001), 195-222.
- [14] W. L. Smith, W. Wilkinson. On branching processes in random environments. Ann. Math. Statist. 40 (1969), 814-827.
- [15] D. Tanny. Limit theorems for branching processes in a random environment. Ann. Proba. 5 (1977), 100-116.
- [16] D. Tanny. A necessary and sufficient condition for a branching process in a random environment to grow like the product of its means. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 28 (1988), 123-139.

- [17] Z. Gao, Q. Liu, H. Wang. Central limit theorem for a branching process in a random environment. Preprint (2010).